Subdivisions of Shellable Complexes



Max Hlavacek UC Berkeley and FU Berlin



Liam Solus KTH Royal Institute of Technology

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

arXiv:2003.07328

What is an *h*-polynomial?

Let Δ be a (d-1)-dimensional simplicial complex:

• f-poynomial — $f(\Delta; x) = f_{-1} + f_0 x + \dots + f_{d-1} x^d$ where $f_{-1} = 1$ and f_i is the number of *i*-dimensional faces of Δ .

• *h*-polynomial —
$$h(\Delta; x) = (1 - x)^d f\left(\frac{x}{1 - x}\right)$$

Classification questions that we may ask about *h*-polynomials:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●

- unimodality: $h_0 \leq h_1 \leq \cdots \leq h_k \geq \cdots \geq h_d$
- real-rooted-ness: stronger than unimodality

Motivation

Theorem (Brenti, Welker 2008) If $h(\Delta; x)$ has positive coefficients, the barycentric subdivision of Δ has a real-rooted *h*-polynomial.





Question: What other polytopal complexes have a barycentric subdivision with a real-rooted *h*-polynomial? One place to start:

 barycentric subdivision of boundary complexes of cubical polytopes (Brenti, Welker)

 cubical polytopes — All faces are combinatorially equivalent to cubes!

Sketch of technique

 Use the idea of shelling to decompose our subdivision into disjoint pieces.

Find all possible h- polynomials of these bite-sized pieces

Use the idea of interlacing polynomials to show that the h-polynomial of the whole subdivision is real-rooted.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Let C be a pure *d*-dim polytopal complex.

Shelling— A linear ordering (F_1, \ldots, F_s) on the facets of C such that:

- 1. Boundary complex of *F*₁ is shellable.
- 2. The intersection of F_j with the prev. facets is nonempty and is the beginning segment of a shelling of the boundary complex of F_j .



Let C be a pure *d*-dim polytopal complex.

Shelling— A linear ordering (F_1, \ldots, F_s) on the facets of C such that:

- 1. Boundary complex of *F*₁ is shellable.
- 2. The intersection of F_j with the prev. facets is nonempty and is the beginning segment of a shelling of the boundary complex of F_j .



Let C be a pure *d*-dim polytopal complex.

Shelling— A linear ordering (F_1, \ldots, F_s) on the facets of C such that:

- 1. Boundary complex of *F*₁ is shellable.
- 2. The intersection of F_j with the prev. facets is nonempty and is the beginning segment of a shelling of the boundary complex of F_j .



Let C be a pure *d*-dim polytopal complex.

Shelling— A linear ordering (F_1, \ldots, F_s) on the facets of C such that:

- 1. Boundary complex of *F*₁ is shellable.
- 2. The intersection of F_j with the prev. facets is nonempty and is the beginning segment of a shelling of the boundary complex of F_j .



Let C be a pure *d*-dim polytopal complex.

Shelling— A linear ordering (F_1, \ldots, F_s) on the facets of C such that:

- 1. Boundary complex of *F*₁ is shellable.
- 2. The intersection of F_j with the prev. facets is nonempty and is the beginning segment of a shelling of the boundary complex of F_j .



Let C be a pure *d*-dim polytopal complex.

Shelling— A linear ordering (F_1, \ldots, F_s) on the facets of C such that:

- 1. Boundary complex of *F*₁ is shellable.
- 2. The intersection of F_j with the prev. facets is nonempty and is the beginning segment of a shelling of the boundary complex of F_j .



Let C be a pure *d*-dim polytopal complex.

Shelling— A linear ordering (F_1, \ldots, F_s) on the facets of C such that:

- 1. Boundary complex of *F*₁ is shellable.
- 2. The intersection of F_j with the prev. facets is nonempty and is the beginning segment of a shelling of the boundary complex of F_j .



Let C be a pure *d*-dim polytopal complex.

Shelling— A linear ordering (F_1, \ldots, F_s) on the facets of C such that:

- 1. Boundary complex of *F*₁ is shellable.
- 2. The intersection of F_j with the prev. facets is nonempty and is the beginning segment of a shelling of the boundary complex of F_j .



Let C be a pure *d*-dim polytopal complex.

Shelling— A linear ordering (F_1, \ldots, F_s) on the facets of C such that:

- 1. Boundary complex of *F*₁ is shellable.
- 2. The intersection of F_j with the prev. facets is nonempty and is the beginning segment of a shelling of the boundary complex of F_j .



Let C be a pure *d*-dim polytopal complex.

Shelling— A linear ordering (F_1, \ldots, F_s) on the facets of C such that:

- 1. Boundary complex of *F*₁ is shellable.
- 2. The intersection of F_j with the prev. facets is nonempty and is the beginning segment of a shelling of the boundary complex of F_j .



Let C be a pure *d*-dim polytopal complex.

Shelling— A linear ordering (F_1, \ldots, F_s) on the facets of C such that:

- 1. Boundary complex of *F*₁ is shellable.
- 2. The intersection of F_j with the prev. facets is nonempty and is the beginning segment of a shelling of the boundary complex of F_j .



Relative complex- C/D denotes a polytopal complex C with a subcomplex D removed.



$$\mathcal{R}_i = \mathcal{C}'|_{F_i} / \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{i-1} \mathcal{C}'|_{F_k} \right)$$



Relative complex- C/D denotes a polytopal complex C with a subcomplex D removed.

We use our shelling order to define the following relative complexes for a subdivision C' of a shellable complex C:

$$\mathcal{R}_i = \mathcal{C}'|_{F_i} / \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{i-1} \mathcal{C}'|_{F_k} \right)$$





Relative complex- C/D denotes a polytopal complex C with a subcomplex D removed.

We use our shelling order to define the following relative complexes for a subdivision C' of a shellable complex C:

$$\mathcal{R}_i = \mathcal{C}'|_{F_i} / \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{i-1} \mathcal{C}'|_{F_k} \right)$$





Relative complex- C/D denotes a polytopal complex C with a subcomplex D removed.

We use our shelling order to define the following relative complexes for a subdivision C' of a shellable complex C:

$$\mathcal{R}_i = \mathcal{C}'|_{F_i} / \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{i-1} \mathcal{C}'|_{F_k} \right)$$



Relative complex- C/D denotes a polytopal complex C with a subcomplex D removed.

We use our shelling order to define the following relative complexes for a subdivision C' of a shellable complex C:

$$\mathcal{R}_i = \mathcal{C}'|_{F_i} / \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{i-1} \mathcal{C}'|_{F_k} \right)$$



Relative complex- C/D denotes a polytopal complex C with a subcomplex D removed.

We use our shelling order to define the following relative complexes for a subdivision C' of a shellable complex C:

$$\mathcal{R}_{=}\mathcal{C}'|_{F_i}/\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{i-1}\mathcal{C}'|_{F_k}\right)$$



Relative complex- C/D denotes a polytopal complex C with a subcomplex D removed.

We use our shelling order to define the following relative complexes for a subdivision C' of a shellable complex C:

$$\mathcal{R}_{i} = \mathcal{C}'|_{F_i} / \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{i-1} \mathcal{C}'|_{F_k} \right)$$



Relative complex- C/D denotes a polytopal complex C with a subcomplex D removed.

We use our shelling order to define the following relative complexes for a subdivision C' of a shellable complex C:

$$\mathcal{R}_i = \mathcal{C}'|_{F_i} / \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{i-1} \mathcal{C}'|_{F_k}\right)$$



Relative complex- C/D denotes a polytopal complex C with a subcomplex D removed.

We use our shelling order to define the following relative complexes for a subdivision C' of a shellable complex C:

$$\mathcal{R}_i = \mathcal{C}'|_{F_i} / \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{i-1} \mathcal{C}'|_{F_k} \right)$$



Relative complex- C/D denotes a polytopal complex C with a subcomplex D removed.

We use our shelling order to define the following relative complexes for a subdivision C' of a shellable complex C:

$$\mathcal{R}_i = \mathcal{C}'|_{F_i} / \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{i-1} \mathcal{C}'|_{F_k} \right)$$



Relative complex- C/D denotes a polytopal complex C with a subcomplex D removed.

We use our shelling order to define the following relative complexes for a subdivision C' of a shellable complex C:

$$\mathcal{R}_i = \mathcal{C}'|_{F_i} / \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{i-1} \mathcal{C}'|_{F_k}\right)$$

We use our shelling order to define series of relative complexes.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ ○ ○ ○

Interlacing polynomials: there is a zero of p between each pair of zeroes of q and vice versa for real-rooted polynomials p and q.

 $p \prec q$: *p* and *q* are interlacing and $p'q - q'p \ge 0$

Theorem (Borcea, Brändén) (2008) Suppose $p_1 \prec p_2 \prec \cdots \prec p_n$. Then any nonnegative combination of $p_1 \ldots p_n$ is real-rooted.

Putting it all together

Theorem 1: H., Solus 2020

Let C be a shellable polytopal complex with shelling (F_1, \ldots, F_s) and subdivision $\varphi : C'$. If the *h* polynomials of the induced relative complexes form an interlacing sequence, then h(C'; x) is real-rooted.





・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Application to cubical complexes

A shelling is **stable** if the relative complexes are stable (satisfying a specific property related to face lattices).

Cubical complexes: relative complexes look like:



・ロト ・ 一 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ 日 ト

Application to cubical complexes

Theorem (H., Solus (2020)): The barycentric and *r*th edgewise subdivisions of cubical complexes admitting a stable shelling have real-rooted h-polynomials.



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Examples of cubical complexes

The following cubical complexes have stable shellings.

- boundary complex of capped cubical polytopes
- boundary complex of cuboids
- piles of cubes





▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Further work

The overall technique outlined for barycentric subdivisions of certain cubical complexes also works for:

- barycentric subdivisions of simplicial products
- edgewise subdivisions of simplicial and certain cubical complexes.

Later, Athanasiadis proved that **all cubical polytopes** have a barycentric subdivision with a real-rooted *h*-polynomial.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Future work

Are their other families of polytopes (not nec. cubical or simplicial) that admit stable shellings, and do real-rootedness results follow?

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬぐ

Do all polytopes admit a stable line shelling?

Thank you all!!! :) :)